

EVALUATION REPORT ON THE PH.D. THESIS

Name of the Researcher : **C. Pushpavalli**

Title of the thesis : Effectiveness of communicative tasks in improving the communication skills of the college students in Andaman.

Communicative tasks provide great opportunities for students to learn the communication skills. The success of a communicative task is always determined by the work the teacher does before the students begin the activity itself. This includes both what is done by the teacher before the class starts and what is done in class to set up the task. These communicative tasks are fluency – based activities. While such activities may involve students practicing the particular communication pattern, they are likely to do more than this.

When talking about communication, goal directed discourse means that the speaker and the listener communicate in order to reach a specific goal. But extended discourse requires multiple turns-at-talk between speakers who control the floor and negotiate the aspects of the interaction. The structural discourse results from a task designed to provide both speaker and listener with an easy-to-follow structure. Based on the concepts stated above, I appreciate the researcher for selecting an innovative topic for her research work.

The researcher has used an appropriate research methodology for her study. She has selected pre-test - post-test parallel group design and this experimental method is more suitable for her research work. For assessing the speaking and writing skills of the students, she has used apt proficiency tests. Moreover, the researcher has used the appropriate statistical technique.

The major findings of the thesis are scientifically supported. Moreover, I consider that the thesis is organized well from the beginning to the end as per the prescribed international standard and format. The researcher has used apt style and appropriate tone, and followed logical arguments. The bibliography at the end is a comprehensive one. Her recommendations and suggestions for further research are rational and her convincing conclusions have to be commended.

Hence, I have no hesitation to state that the thesis of Ms. C. Pushpavalli is highly commended for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in English.

Athens, 16. Sept., 2013



/A.L. Katonis/

BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY



COIMBATORE – 641 046

EVALUATION REPORT ON THE PH.D. THESIS

Name of the Candidate

Name, Designation and address of the Examiner

C. Pushpavalli

Title of the Thesis.

Effectiveness of communicative tasks in improving the communication skills of the students in Andaman

EVALUATION REPORT (Merits and Shortcomings)

See scanned copy

a) Gradation of the thesis (Please put tick (✓) mark in one of the appropriate boxes)

Highly Commended

(or)

Commended

(or)

Not Commended

(or)

Recommended for revision and resubmission

b) If resubmission is suggested,

1. Whether to send the revised thesis to you for your perusal approval and gradation

Yes	No
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2. If it is 'No', the revisions recommended by you will be incorporated in the thesis and a certificate to that effect will be obtained from the guide / supervisor without sending the thesis for your perusal. In that case, you have to indicate the gradation of the thesis either as Highly Commended or as Commended after carrying out the expected revisions.

Highly Commended

Commended

Note:

Signature



The thesis has to be graded as Highly Commended or Commended if the thesis is recommended for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

The thesis has to be graded as Not Commended if the thesis is not recommended for the award of Ph.D. Degree.

BHARATHIAR



UNIVERSITY

COIMBATORE - 641 046

Honorarium for the evaluation of Ph.D. thesis /

Remuneration for the conduct of viva-voce examination

Name of the candidate : C. Pushpavalli

Title of the thesis	Rs. / \$
Effectiveness of communicative tasks in improving the communication skills of the college students in Gudamam	

Name (in block letters) ANDREAS L. KATONIS
Official address
16452 ARGYROU POLI (ATHENS)
KOMNINON 27, GREECE

AKLL

Signature of the examiner.

Station :

Date :

Certified that the above particulars are correct.

Honorarium for the evaluation of Ph.D. thesis : Overseas Examiner -
Indian Examiner - Rs.
Supervisor - Rs.

Remuneration for the conduct of viva-voce examination - Rs. per examiner.

CONFIDENTIAL

Fax : 091-422-426374, 422387
E-mail : bharathiar-coe@hotmail.com
Phone : Off : 422752, 426373
Res : 422134

BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY



COIMBATORE - 641 046

Ref. No. COE / Ph.D. / 2013/1101

Date : 18.7.13

From

To
Dr. Andreas L. Katonis
Professor
16452 Argyrupoli
Athens, Kaminon 27
Greece.

Research co-ordinator
Controller of Examinations,
Bharathiar University,
Coimbatore - 641 046

Dear Dr.

Sub : Evaluation of the Ph.D. thesis submitted to Bharathiar University -Regarding.
Ref : 1. Our letter No..... E-Mail dated..... 08.7.13.....
2. Your letter..... E-Mail 10.7.13.....

While thanking you for having accepted the offer of appointment as a member of the board of examiners to evaluate the Ph.D. thesis entitled

Effectiveness of Communicative Tasks in
improving the communication skills -
Andaman submitted by C. Pushpavalli

I am sending herewith a copy of the thesis for evaluation.

You are requested to send the evaluation report on the thesis in duplicate in the enclosed PROFORMA to the Controller of Examinations by name, within 60 days from the date of receipt of the Ph.D. thesis.

A candid and detailed report is expected. At the end of the evaluation report, indicate without fail whether the thesis is Highly commended or Commended or Not commended. In case you recommend revision of the thesis, please let us know whether the revised thesis has to be sent back to you OR is it sufficient if the corrections are incorporated in the thesis before the viva-voce examination. If revision of the thesis is recommended, guidelines for revision may kindly be provided.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

Research co-ordinator
Controller of Examinations

Encls :

1. Thesis copy
2. Regulations concerned with the evaluation of Ph.D. thesis
3. PROFORMA for evaluation report
4. Claim bill